

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/960,418 | LINCKE, SHANE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ajay M. Bhatia | 2145 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: abandoned

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) _____.

(2) Elis Gold.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
1
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted applicant's representative to inquire about the status of the case since an appropriate reply had not been received for office action mailed 10/17/05. Applicant's representative informed examiner that the case was abandoned.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)